

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,754	09/04/2001	Toru Owada	62758-011	8446
20277 75	07/29/2005	EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			DATE MAILED, 02/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
1		
Office Action Summary	09/943,754	OWADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INO DATE of this communication of	David Y. Jung	2134
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ippears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	May 2005.	
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	* •	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ah
occ the attached detailed Office action for a li	or or the definition copies flot receive	
Attachment(s)	_	
) 1 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/01</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 25

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-8 are presented.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RFC 2630 (as printed from www.faqs.org/rfcs/rfc2630.html) and Keutzer (cited in the previous Office Action).

Regarding claim 1, RFC teaches all except "device." See, for instance, key wrap algorithms described in section 12.6. The key wrap algorithms encrypt keys as well as content. The Internet (part of the official title of this document) inherently teaches the storage and input/output.

These passages of RFC do not teach "device" in the sense of the claim.

Keutzer teaches such "device" for the motivation of integration. See, especially, the section on intellectual property blocks (which would be able to incorporate such algorithms).

Art Unit: 2134

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine the teachings of RFC and Keutzer for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 2, as noted in the previous Office Action, such particular features are taught by Keutzer. Regarding claims 3-8, as noted in the previous Office Action, these claims are analogs of claims 1-2. For the reasons noted in the rejections of claims 1-2, claims 3-8 are not patentable.

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Art Unit: 2134

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Greg Morse whose telephone number is (571) 272-3838.

David Jung

Patent Examiner

7/25/05